Practitioner's Docket No. 17396/09015

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/026,301 Maurice R. De Billot, et al. Confirmation No. 8087

Group No.: 1617

Filed: 12/19/2001 Examiner: Abigail Manda Cotton

For: Method of Improving

Yield and Vigor of Plants

RESPONSE UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP
———

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION--TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment after final rejection (37 C.F.R. 1.116) for this application.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

■ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. § 1.10*

☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

 $\hfill\Box$ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (571) 273 - 8300.

Signature

Date: July 12, 2007

Mim Voet

(type or print name of person certifying)

^{*} Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

STATUS

2. Applicant is other than a small entity.

EXTENSION OF TERM

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply. Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col.1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTIT					ENTITY
	CLAIMS									
	REMAINING		HIGHEST NO							
	AFTER		PREVIOUSLY	PRESENT					AD	DIT.
	AMENDMENT		PAID FOR	EXTRA	RATE			FEE		
TOTAL	28	MINUS	28	= 0	х	\$	50.00	=	\$	0.00
INDEP	1	MINUS	3	= 0	х	\$	200.00	=	\$	0
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+	\$	0.00	=	\$	0.00
						۸D	TOTAL DIT FEE		\$	0.00

^{*} If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "O" in Col. 3,

No additional fee for claims is required.

FEE DEFICIENCY

5. If any extension and/or fee is required beyond the enclosed \$790.00 fee for the RCE filed herein, charge Account No. 50-2548.

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Acount No. 50-2548.

Date: July 12, 2007

Reg. No.: 35,124

Tel. No.: 864-250-2238 Fax. No.: 803-255-9831 Customer No.: 45850 Signature of Practitioner Charles E. Dunlap, Ph.D., Esq.

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP

1320 Main Street, 17th Floor

Columbia, SC 29201 U.S.

^{**} If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE (Column 2, Row 1) is less than 20, enter "20".

^{***} If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE (Column 2, Row 2) is less than 3, enter "3".

The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

NOTE OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.

10/026,301

CONFIRMATION NO. 8087

APPLICANT

:

De Billot et al.

FILED:

: December 19, 2001

TC/A.U.

EXAMINER

Abigail M. Cotton

1617

DOCKET NO.

17396/09015

CUSTOMER NO.

45850

RESPONSE AND AMENDMENT AFTER OFFICE ACTION DEEMED TO BE A FINAL ACTION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action of April 13, 2007, and the Advisory Action of June 27, 2007. The response is filed along with a request for continued examination and appropriate fees for such request and is believed to be timely on account of being filed within three months of the mailing date of the most recent Action.

There are no Amendments to the Specification.

Amendments to the claims are shown in the listing of the claims that begins on page 2 of this paper.

There are no **Amendments to the Drawings**.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 20 of this paper.